

Cristal Advocates

Are your recovered expenses disbursements or reimbursements? The Ugandan tax position



Cristal Knowledge Series July 2022

1. Introduction

The tax treatment of the recovery or recharge of third party expenses incurred by vendors while serving their customers is intricate and some taxpayers have been hit with tax assessments arising from this. Though it is commonly taken for granted that recharges of third party expenses incurred and recovered by vendors from their customers are disbursements, some of these repayments are actually reimbursements for tax purposes. We draw the distinction and highlight the applicable tax treatment for each in this article.

2. Disbursement or reimbursement

The distinction between disbursements and reimbursements for tax purposes is instructive. This is because while the recovery of third party costs from customers strictly qualifying as disbursements is out of scope for Value Added Tax ("VAT") and withholding tax ("WHT") purposes, the reimbursement of third party costs to vendors by customers is subject to VAT and WHT where applicable.

Though Uganda's tax legislation does not define the foregoing terms, case law is exhaustive. In general terms, the recovery of third party costs from a customer qualifies as a disbursement if the expenses were incurred by a vendor as agent of the customer. A recharge of third party expenses from customers incurred by vendors is a reimbursement if the expenses were borne by the vendors as principal in the course of serving the customer.

lssue	Disbursement	Reimbursement
Principal user	The vendor only incurs an expense on behalf of the customer who primarily is the user of the item or supply procured	The item purchased facilitates the vendor to provide the services or supplies that the customer contracted for.
Duty to pay	The vendor is not ordinarily under duty to pay for supplies from third parties if it were not for the request of the customer to incur payment on their behalf.	The vendor is under duty to pay for the third party supplies procured.
Examples	Company registration fees Taxes paid on behalf of a client Court fees Land registration fees Assessments for building plans Any such fees ordinarily payable by the customer especially so where the assessments or invoices are issued in the name of the customer	Airtickets, accommodation, transport fare, printing, fuel and telephone costs among others incurred by the vendor in the course of project execution to facilitate their contract performance but recharged to the customer.

3. The tax position

It is now a settled position of the law that billings for the recovery of third party costs to customers qualifying as disbursements do not represent a charge for the provision of services or supplies. They are out of scope for tax purposes. A vendor should not charge VAT on the same. Similarly, the customer ought not to deduct WHT if it were to be applicable.

Billings for the recovery of third party costs to customers qualifying as reimbursements represent part of the cost or charge for providing the services and supplies. A vendor should charge VAT on the same if applicable. The customer must equally deduct WHT if eligible.

Reimbursements take on the character of the service or supply contracted for by the customer. If the vendor incurs third party costs that support the performance of services that are exempt from VAT, such underlying reimbursements are also exempt from VAT on billing. Disbursements also ought to be recharged at actual cost. Any mark up on such recovery of costs will be taxable.

4. Judicial precedents

There are several decided cases both internationally and locally that set out the tax position on disbursements and reimbursements.

In a 2017 United Kingdom (UK) case, the question for determination was whether property search fees were part of the overall client bills and thus subject to tax or represented disbursements that are outside the scope of taxation. It was held that because the Solicitors were using the information as 'part and parcel' of its overall service, the search fees were not disbursements. Such would have qualified as a disbursement if they had been passed on to the customer without analysis or comment. However, if the firm provides advice or makes a report on the basis of the search, it was concluded the recharged cost would form part of the charges for its services that is subject to taxation.

In an earlier case, Court in the UK held that costs incurred by the vendor in obtaining medical records were disbursements because the solicitor could only obtain the documents with the client's consent, and the client was considered as the 'owner' of the information within the document. The solicitor was 'merely an intermediary used to facilitate payment.

In the 2019 case of Prime Solutions Limited versus Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), the issue considered was whether expenses incurred by the vendor for the purposes of facilitating the effective performance of services were chargeable to VAT. In considering the arguments of the parties, Uganda's Tax Appeals Tribunal relied on various decisions and drew a distinction between disbursements and reimbursements.

Reimbursements are included within the consideration of the provision of a service by a taxable supplier and are consequently subject to VAT. On the other hand, disbursements are expenses incurred on behalf of a client in what would be an agency relationship that are not subject to VAT. The Tribunal agreed with the URA and held that expenses such as transport costs and accommodation were reimbursements. They were expenses incurred during the provision of the service to the client and included in the total consideration to which VAT was chargeable.

In the 2018 case of Bank of Africa versus URA, the issue considered was whether expenses such as air tickets, per diem, payroll costs and hotel accommodation incurred by the vendor and recharged to the customer were subject to VAT and WHT. In its determination, Uganda's Tax Appeals Tribunal distinguished between a disbursement and a reimbursement for purposes of VAT. Relying on the 1975 case of Rowe & Maw versus Commissioner for Customs and Excise, the Tribunal held that VAT would be levied on any such payment incurred by the service provider to enable them effectively perform and which is reimbursed since it will be a part of the consideration the client will be paying for the service. On that basis, the Tribunal agreed with the URA and found that VAT was rightly charged on the foregoing reimbursed costs because they were incurred on the employees of the vendor providing services to the customer.

5. Conclusion

As highlighted above, distinguishing between reimbursements and disbursements is very important for tax purposes. Professionals like lawyers, accountants, surveyors among others that periodically recharge their customers for third party costs incurred in the provision of their professional services must pay more attention to this difference to mitigate avoidable tax exposure.

Cristal Advocates accepts no responsibility for any loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of material contained in this publication. Further advice should be taken before relying on the contents of this publication.

Contacts for this Publication



Denis Yekoyasi Kakembo dkakembo@cristaladvocates.com +256 751 834 168



Bill Page bpage@cristaladvocates.com



John Teira jteira@cristaladvocates.com +256 704 493 997



Dickens Asiimwe Katta dasiimwe@cristaladvocates.com +256 772 370 021



Francis Tumwesige Ateenyi ftumwesige@cristaladvocates.com +256 702 540 936

Denis is the Managing Partner at Cristal Advocates where he also leads the energy and tax practice. He is qualified both as a Lawyer and Chartered Accountant with vast experience serving various industries in Sub Saharan Africa. Before joining Cristal Advocates, he had worked for close to 10 years with Deloitte and Touche where he started his career and rose to senior managerial positions.

At Deloitte, he lived and worked in Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania and the United Kingdom for over 6 years and subsequently became the firm's chief of staff for the Energy and Resources Industry Group seeing him play a lead advisory role in Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania, Mozambique, South Sudan, Somalia and Ethiopia.

Denis is widely published and a regular commentator in the local, regional and international media and speaker at various forums regarding the taxation and financing of energy projects as well as the protection of large capital projects within the framework of international investment law.

He holds a Master of Laws degree in Petroleum Taxation and Finance from the University of Dundee in the United Kingdom, a Post Graduate Diploma in Legal Practice and a Bachelor of Laws degree from Makerere University.

Bill is a Senior Advisor with Cristal Advocates. He has concentrated on working with energy companies with a particular focus on cross border transactions and M&A since 1989 and is a leading global energy and tax practitioner with wide international experience. Between 1986 and 1998, he worked in London with the UK tax authorities and Big Four accounting firms. From 1998 to 2004, he was based in Kazakhstan working across the Caspian region with Deloitte. He was in the region at the time it was developing its infrastructure for crude oil production with international investment following the collapse of the Soviet Union.

From 2004 to 2008, he worked in Russia where he led Deloitte's oil and gas industry group and established Deloitte's office in Sakhalin. He moved to East Africa in 2009 leading Deloitte's energy and resources industry group in Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania, Rwanda, Ethiopia and Mozambique. He was initially based in Kampala, Uganda later relocating to Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Bill returned to the UK in 2014 supporting Deloitte UK teams working on outbound projects investing in Africa and was a key member of Deloitte UK's energy and resource practice until his retirement from the firm in September, 2018.

Bill is a graduate of Oxford University and completed his inspectors' training with the UK Inland Revenue in 1989. ■

John leads the public policy and advocacy practice at the firm and combines unique public and private sector experience.

Prior to joining Cristal Advocates, he had worked as a Private Secretary to the President of the Republic of Uganda. During this time, he participated in several public and private sector engagements that included advising and coordinating activities relating to oil and gas as well as infrastructural projects of national significance. John had earlier worked with the Post Bank Uganda Limited and Shonubi Musoke and Co. Advocates.

He holds a Bachelor of Laws degree from Makerere University and a Post Graduate Diploma in Legal Practice from the Law Development Centre and various other qualifications.

Dickens leads the oil and gas practice at Cristal Advocates. He has an in depth appreciation of Uganda's oil and gas sector having served as the maiden Company Secretary of the Uganda National Oil Company (UNOC) and the Uganda Refinery Holding Company Limited (URHC). UNOC represents the Government of Uganda commercial interests in the oil and gas sector while URHC represents government interests in the refinery project as well as managing the petrol based industrial park.

Dickens was instrumental in UNOC's formation and initial period of operation and also served as its head of Contracts, Negotiations and Advisory until May 2018. Prior to joining UNOC, Dickens was Legal Counsel at the Petroleum Directorate of the Ministry of Energy playing key legal advisory roles on the negotiation and implementation of PSAs, Joint venture and other oil and gas agreements. He was also part of the team that shepherded the process of enacting the current Ugandan oil and gas Legislations and Regulations including the local content requirements.

He is a certified project control specialist (IFP) and holds a Master of Laws Degree in Petroleum Law and Policy from the University of Dundee in the United Kingdom, a Post Graduate Diploma in Legal Practice and a Bachelor of Laws degree from Makerere University.

Francis leads the litigation and dispute resolution practice at the firm. He is an Advocate of the High Court of Uganda with expertise in oil and gas, infrastructure and dispute resolution. He has been part of teams advising on projects in Uganda, Tanzania, Mozambique and South Africa. He specializes in regulatory compliance, national content, health and safety and dispute resolution.

He joined Cristal Advocates from Kizza, Tumwesige, and Ssemambo Advocates. He previously worked with the Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment (ACODE). He also undertook a traineeship with the oil and gas division of Webber Wetzel in Johannesburg, South Africa.

Francis Tumwesige Ateenyi He holds a Master of Laws degree in Petroleum Law and Policy from the University of Dundee in the United Kingdom and various other qualifications.



- Energy & Infrastructure
- Business support
- Employment
- Banking & Finance
- School of Professional Excellence

- Tax
- Company Secretarial & Trustee Services
- Public Law & Policy Advocacy
- Dispute Resolution
- Corporate and Commercial

Contact us

Cristal Advocates

32 Lumumba Avenue 4th Floor, Padre Pio House Lumumba Avenue P.O. Box 1769 Kampala, Uganda Tel: +256 (414) 671 274 Email; admin@cristaladvocates.com www.cristaladvocates.com